

## NHT Funded Project NLP 13188



# The effects of waste disposal on groundwater quality in Tasmania



# Bridport sewage lagoons

Tasmanian Geological Survey Record 2002/01

While every care has been taken in the preparation of this report, no warranty is given as to the correctness of the information and no liability is accepted for any statement or opinion or for any error or omission. No reader should act or fail to act on the basis of any material contained herein. Readers should consult professional advisers. As a result the Crown in Right of the State of Tasmania and its employees, contractors and agents expressly disclaim all and any liability (including all liability from or attributable to any negligent or wrongful act or omission) to any persons whatsoever in respect of anything done or omitted to be done by any such person in reliance whether in whole or in part upon any of the material in this report.



## Mineral Resources Tasmania Tasmanian Geological Survey Record 2002/01



## Groundwater quality investigations at the Bridport sewage lagoons

A. R. Ezzy

### Abstract

Groundwater was investigated in the area of the Bridport sewage lagoons to determine if the lagoons were affecting groundwater quality. Water table depth and groundwater quality indicates a hydraulic connection between the lagoons and the groundwater system. Groundwater quality down gradient is degraded compared to that up gradient of the lagoons. Further work is required to quantify the extent and nature of groundwater degradation.

### INTRODUCTION

Mineral Resources Tasmania (MRT) initiated a project to investigate the effects of waste disposal on groundwater quality in Tasmania. The project was funded by MRT and the Natural Heritage Trust (NHT) and included a number of sites for detailed study. The sewage lagoons at Bridport were one of these sites.

The objectives of the investigations at the Bridport sewage lagoons were to:

- Determine the geological nature of the host materials;
- □ Identify the depth of the water table;
- □ Examine the quality of the groundwater;
- Determine the permeability of the host materials; and
- □ Identify if a potential hydraulic connection exists between the lagoons and the local groundwater system.

### SITE DESCRIPTION

The Bridport sewage lagoons are located approximately 750 metres northwest of Bridport in northeast Tasmania (531 800 mE, 5 462 500 mN) (fig. 1). The construction of the lagoons, which have been in operation since 1975, was approved by the (then) Department of the Environment and the facility is currently licensed by the Department of Primary Industries, Water and Environment (DPIWE). Engineering consultants Gutteridge Haskins and

Tasmanian Geological Survey Record 2002/01

Davey undertook the initial engineering specification and construction of the lagoons.

During construction the two lagoons were lined with a cationic emulsion and a wire reinforcement framework. Each lagoon has a one metre cement wave wall constructed at surface level to prevent wave erosion damage. Both lagoons are located in sand.

### Geology

The Tasmania Department of Mines 1:60 000 scale geological map of the area (*Geology of the Scottsdale sedimentary basin*, Moore, 1990) indicates that the



**Figure 1** *Location of sewage lagoons, Bridport* 





geology of the area comprises Quaternary coastal plain sediments consisting of sand containing silt, shells and organic material (fig. 2).

Geological mapping during the current study indicated that Quaternary sand deposits occur within 100 metres of the lagoons in all directions. One outcrop of dark grey coarse-grained sandstone was located sixteen metres south of the lagoons (Plate 1). This supports mapping by Moore (1990) which implied that Devonian–Silurian sediments (Mathinna Beds) underlie the Quaternary deposits at shallow depth (most likely between 5-10 metres).

### Hydrology

The lagoons are located within 100 metres of a drainage line to the west that has been excavated to

handle storm loading which discharges into the estuarine section of the Little Forester River. Australian Bureau of Meteorology rainfall station 091116 at Scottsdale (Kraft Foods) is the closest rainfall station to the site. The rainfall chart (fig. 3) shows that the average annual rainfall for the station is 983.6 mm. There is a marked seasonality, with highest rainfall in autumn/winter (April to August).

#### DATA OBSERVATIONS

#### Investigation and sampling

Six 120 mm diameter monitoring bores were auger drilled on 19 September 2000 for this project (fig. 4). Fifty millimetre PVC casing and slotted screens with bentonite seals were installed in each hole. All bores



#### Figure 3

Average monthly rainfall for Australian Bureau of Meteorology rainfall station 091116, Scottsdale (Kraft Foods).



**Plate 1** *Outcrop of dark grey coarse-grained sandstone located 16 m south of the southern lagoon.* 



Plate 2

Shallow standing water level (0.38 m below ground level) observed in the test pit excavated by the Dorset Council 26 m north of BSL2000/6.



Figure 4

Locations of monitoring bores installed at the Bridport sewage lagoons, and test pit excavated by Dorset Council.

were logged in accordance with AS 1726-1993; engineering logs are presented in Appendix 1. Disturbed samples were collected at appropriate intervals and are stored in the MRT core shed if required for further analysis.

Groundwater was encountered between 1.2 and 3.0 m depth across the site. A perched water table was intercepted in hole BSL2000/4 at 1.2 m and hole BSL2000/5 was installed specifically to monitor this perched water table. Flow during drilling indicated that the groundwater in all boreholes was unconfined. A shallow standing water level (0.38 m below ground level) was observed in the test pit excavated and left open by the Dorset Council 26 m north of BSL2000/6 (Plate 2). Recorded yields from the bores ranged between 0.005 to 0.015 l/s. Figure 5 shows a cross-section and related standing water level on 20 August 2001.

Both the unsaturated and saturated zones consist of heterogenous layers of fine to coarse-grained sand. Rounded pebbles were intersected in several horizons during the drilling of bores BSL2000/3, 4 and 5.



**Figure 5.** Cross-section and related standing water level on 20 August 2001 for: (a) bores BSL 2000/2 and 6 [A–A'] and (b) bores BSL 2000/1, 2 and 3 [B–B'].



Figure 6

Piper plots for groundwater bores at the Bridport sewage lagoons. 1 - BSL2000/1; 2 - BSL2000/2; 3 - BSL2000/3; 4 - BSL2000/4; 5 - BSL2000/5; 6 - BSL2000/6; 7 - average of all MRT groundwater records for Quaternary coastal sands.

Table 1

*Comparison of analytical results against water quality standards (guideline value listed when stated by a relevant standard). Bold values exceed emission limits* 

Parameter	BSL 2000/1	BSL 2000/2	BSL 2000/3	BSL 2000/4	BSL 2000/5	BSL 2000/6	Emission limit
	5.0		5.2	57	2000/0	5.0	
	5.0	5.8	5.3	5.7	6.3	5.9	N/A
Conductivity (µS/cm)	371	354	615	588		1130	N/A: note average seawater value 36 000
Alkalinity CO <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	N/A
Alkalinity HCO <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	7	41	34	78	133	48	N/A
Bromide (mg/L)	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	N/A
Chloride (mg/L)	78	44	110	120	140	270	250* (mg/L)
Fluoride (mg/L)	< 0.02	0.09	0.03	0.03	0.13	0.06	1.5* (mg/L)
Sulphate (mg/L)	15	25	32	13	18	64	250* (mg/L)
Ammonia (mg/L)	1.73	1.11	1.68	4.92	0.067	0.052	0.5* (mg/L) nitrogen (as ammonia)
Nitrate + Nitrite (mg/L)	0.171	0.164	0.178	0.328	0.092	0.109	$10.0^*$ (mg/L) nitrogen (as nitrate or nitrite)
Nitrite (mg/L)	0.035	0.062	0.036	0.168	0.084	0.034	10.0* (mg/L) nitrogen (as nitrate or nitrite)
Ortho-P (mg/L)	0.014	0.021	0.016	0.100	0.031	0.022	2.0* as phosphorus
Calcium (mg/L)	4.46	3.71	3.94	1.72	0.57	0.48	N/A
Potassium (mg/L)	2.599	3.80	2.34	0.70	0.48	0.24	N/A
Magnesium (mg/L)	6.70	18.9	13.1	3.47	0.95	1.56	N/A
Sodium (mg/L)	48.4	33.0	84.2	30.5	11.9	14.7	N/A

\* Environment Protection (Water Pollution) Regulations 1974, emissions into inland water

\*\* Australian Water Quality Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Waters, 1992

N/A – no emission limit available

#### Table 2

		Brid	lport sew	age lago	ons		ANZECC 2000						
	PCI	PCI	PCI	PCI	PCI	PCI	/6 IRRIGATION /6 STV LTV (Short-term) (Long-term)						
Analyte	2000/1	2000/2	2000/3	2000/4	2000/5	2000/6			DRINKING				
Standing Water Level	1.16	1.44	1.88	1.44	1.01	1.0							
рН	5.0	5.8	5.3	5.7	6.3	5.9	**6.0–8.5						
Conductivity (µS/cm)	371	354	615	588	777	1130	<sup>(1)</sup> (Refer Tables 4.2.3 & 4.2.4)		<sup>(1)</sup> (Refer Tables 4.2.3 & 4.2.4		<sup>(1)</sup> (Refer Tables 4.2.3 & 4.2.4)		
Manganese (µg/L)	6700	18900	13100	3470	950	1560	10,000 200		NST				
Chloride (mg/L)	78	44	110	120	140	270	<sup>(2)</sup> MT (Refer Table 4.2.6) MR (Refer Table 4.2.7)						
Fluoride (mg/L)	<0.02	0.09	0.03	0.03	0.13	0.06	4	1					
Potassium (mg/L)	2.59	3.8	2.34	0.7	0.48	0.24							
Sodium (mg/L)	48.4	33	84.2	30.5	11.9	14.7	(2)MT (Refer	<sup>-</sup> Table 4.2.8)					
PO₄-P (mg/L)	0.014	0.021	0.016	0.1	0.031	0.022							
SO₄ (mg/L)	0.015	0.025	0.032	0.013	0.018	0.064							
NH <sub>3</sub> -N (mg/L)	1.730	1.110	1.680	4.920	0.067	0.052							
(NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> )-N (mg/L)	0.171	0.164	0.178	0.328	0.092	0.109							
NO <sub>2</sub> -N (mg/L)	0.035	0.062	0.036	0.168	0.084	0.034							

### Comparison of analytical results against the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality 2000

Shaded boxes indicate where values are above relevant guideline levels (also shaded).

This table is derived from: ANZECC 2000: Guidelines for fresh and marine water quality – Vol. 1 Ch. 4 Primary Industries and Vol. 3 Ch. 9 Primary Industries – Rationale and Background Information.

Notes:

- \*\* set to limit potential for corrosion and fouling of pumping, irrigation and stock watering systems.
- (1) Suitability depends on salt tolerance of crop and calculation of ECse, the average root zone salinity. ECse depends on soil type and average root zone leaching fraction.
   (2) For a structure of the second second
- (2) ES = suits extremely sensitive crops
  - S = suits crops sensitive to foliar injury through foliar absorption
  - MS = suits moderately sensitive crops, may affect sensitive crops
  - MT = suits moderately tolerant crops
  - MA = may affect crops sensitive to foliar injury through foliar absorption
  - MR = medium risk of increasing crop cadmium concentrations
- STV short term trigger value for contaminant in irrigation water (<20 years) use
- LTV long term trigger value for contaminant in irrigation water (100 years) use
- NST not sufficiently toxic

### Analytical data

All bores were sampled on 29 November 2000 in accordance with Australian/New Zealand Standard AS/NZS 5667.11:1998. Laboratory testing of samples of groundwater extracted from the boreholes was carried out by Analytical Services Tasmania, in accordance with relevant Australian and international standards. The laboratory report from Analytical Services Tasmania is included as Appendix 2. Values for pH ranged between 5.0 and 6.3 while conductivity values ranged between 371 and 1130  $\mu$ S/cm. Analytical results are presented on site maps in Appendix 3.

Figure 6 is a Piper plot of the results of the groundwater samples, while Tables 1 and 2 compare the analytical results against international standards where a guideline/emission value is stated by the relevant standard.

### **INTERPRETATION**

#### In situ permeability testing

Slug extraction tests were carried out on 16 August 2001 on bores BSL2000/1 and BSL2000/6 to gain an understanding of the permeability of the host materials. Data collected during the slug extraction tests is presented in Appendix 4.

Slug extraction tests (30 to 40 litres) were completed and levels monitored for ten minutes (time for 95% plus recovery). Test data were analysed in the software package *AquiferWin32* (Version 2.17, Environmental Simulations Inc.). The Bouwer and Rice (1976 Unconfined Aquifer) solution was used to calculated the hydraulic conductivities depicted in Figure 7 (a) and (b) for holes BSL2000/1 and BSL2000/6 respectively. This method was selected as the most appropriate available within the software package. The hydraulic conductivity values (0.69 and 0.50 m/d) imply a muddy/silty sandy aquifer, which may suggest that fines were washed out of the returns during drilling and therefore are not identified on the engineering logs.

### Hydrogeology

Figure 8 is an interpretation of the piezometric surface based on surveyed heights and groundwater depths of the boreholes. Based on this interpretation, groundwater flow is to the northwest of the lagoons. The cross-section slopes also support this interpretation.

Borehole BSL2000/6 appears to be the most appropriate hole to use as a background comparison although elevated chloride and manganese concentrations indicate that the hole may not be suitable as a background hole in the medium to long term.

Groundwater chemistry varies up and down-gradient near the lagoons. Groundwater from holes down gradient of the lagoons (BSL2000/1, BSL2000/2, BSL2000/3 and BSL2000/4) had elevated chemical results for selective water quality parameters compared to water from hole BSL2000/6 up gradient. These included ammonia (one order of magnitude higher down gradient), sodium, calcium, potassium, and magnesium. The reverse effect existed for sulphate and chloride, possibly due to dilution effects relating to the lagoons. BSL2000/5 (screened in a perched water table, most likely related to recharge by rainfall through the soil profile) had elevated values for ortho-phosphate and alkalinity (CaCO<sub>3</sub>).

The water chemistry of BSL2000/2 on the Piper plot (fig. 6) varies significantly compared to the other five bores. This may imply a preferred groundwater pathway (possibly related to coarse sand) from the

lagoons towards the surface water system to the west. Nitrogen and ammonia values indicate leakage to the northwest and particularly to the north.

The groundwater in the local area of the lagoons is of moderate to poor quality. The ANZECC guidelines state that water of this quality is only specifically useful for sensitive crops. Further monitoring of microbiological water quality parameters may further limit the potential usefulness of the groundwater.

There appears to be a direct hydraulic connection between the groundwater system and the infrastructure of both lagoons (i.e. the lagoons are located in the groundwater table). A groundwater mounding effect may be associated with the lagoons and influenced by the bedrock topography. The combination of *in situ* permeability tests (hydraulic conductivity values of 0.69 and 0.50 m/d) and the cross sections (fig. 5) demonstrate that the Quaternary sand aquifer could exert a slight hydraulic head on the lagoon liners. More observations are needed.

#### **PRINCIPAL CONCLUSIONS**

With respect to current data collection points, groundwater quality down-gradient appears to be notably degraded compared to that up-gradient of the lagoons. The integrity of the lagoon liners requires further investigation. On-going interaction between the solid and liquid wastes within the lagoons and the groundwater system will most likely continue to degrade groundwater quality north and west of the lagoons.

#### **FURTHER WORK**

Future monitoring of microbiological water quality parameters may help to confirm the extent of the degradation of groundwater quality related to the sewage lagoon infrastructure. An electromagnetic (EM31/EM34, TEM) survey is recommended to identify zones of high and low ground conductivity. The survey may help to define the extent of variations in groundwater chemistry within the areas up and down-gradient of the lagoon footprints. Differentiation of the contamination plume using geophysical techniques should consider the implications of elevated chloride and manganese concentrations identified in BSL2000/6. Additional drilling should include a borehole sited in similar sand well away from any pollution source in the local area.

#### REFERENCES

MOORE, W. R. 1990. North East Tasmania Groundwater Resource Project 1:60 000 Series. Map 1. Geology of the Scottsdale Sedimentary Basin. Department of Mines Tasmania.

[30 May 2002]



#### Figure 7

Hydraulic conductivity values for: (a) BSL2000/1 (K = 0.69 m/d = 7.98 × 10<sup>-6</sup> m/sec) (b) BSL2000/6 (K = 0.50 m/d = 5.81 × 10<sup>-6</sup> m/sec), calculated in AquiferWin32 (Version 2.17, Environmental Simulations Inc.), Bouwer and Rice (1976, Unconfined Aquifer) solution.



## **Appendix 1 Engineering logs**

## EXPLANATION SHEET FOR ENGINEERING LOGS Borehole and excavation log

## Penetration No resistance

ranging to

refusal

### Water

### Notes - samples and tests

<u> </u>	22 Jan, 80 Water level on date shown
$\leftarrow$	Water inflow
-	Water outflow

U50	Undisturbed sample 50mm diameter
D	Disturbed sample
Ν	Standard penetrometer blow count for 300mm
N*	SPT + Sample

### Material classification

Based on Unified Soil Classification System.

In Graphic Log materials are represented by clear contrasting symbols consistent for each project.

### Moisture content

- D Dry, looks and feel dry
- Moist, no free water on hand Μ when remoulding
- when remoulding

- greater than the plastic limit

## Cored borehole log

No loss

50% loss

100% loss



### Lugeons

Lugeon units (uL) are a measure of rock mass permeability. For a 46 to 74mm diameter borehole 1 Lugeon is defined as a rate of loss of 1 litre per metre per minute. 1 Lugeon is roughly equivalent to a permeability of 1X10<sup>-4</sup> mm / sec.

### Graphic log



### No core

Bock substances represented by clear, contrasting symbols consistent for each project.

### Weathering

Fr	Fresh
SW	Slightly weathered

- НW Highly weathered
- EW Extremely weathered

Strer	n <b>gth</b> poin inde	t load strength x 1 5 (50) (MPa)
EL	Extremely low	< 0.03
VL	Very low	0.03 - 0.1
L	Low	0.1 - 0.3
М	Medium	0.3 - 1
н	High	1 - 3
VH	Very high	3 - 10
EH	Extremely hig	h >10
Notes	: X on log is te	st result.

### Significant defects

Significant defects shown graphically



Joint Sheared zone Crushed seam

Infill seam

Extremely weathered seam



Implies protective OH&S equipment required for drilling personal

- W Wet, free water on hand
- Liquid limit LL
- Plastic limit ΡL
- ΡI Plasticity index
- e.g. M>PL Moist,moisture content

## Consistency

	: n	and penetrometer
VS	Very soft	<25 (kPa)
S	Soft	25 - 50
F	Firm	50 - 100
St	Stiff	100 - 200
VSt	Very stiff	200 - 400
Н	Hard	>400
Fb	Friable	
Notes	s: X on log is t	est result
	- is rang	je of results

### Density index

		%
VL	Very loose	0 - 15
L	Loose	15 - 35
MD	Medium dense	35 - 65
D	Dense	65 - 85
VD	Very dense	85 - 100

### Fracture description

RP	Rough	planar

- RL Rough irregular
- SP Smooth planar
- Smooth irregular SL

## ENGINEERING LOG - BOREHOLE

Borehole no. BSL2000/1 Sheet 1 of 1

Pro	jec	t	Bri	idport s	ewag	ge lag	goons Location Ch	arles St	reet,	Bridport
Co- R.L Incl Bea	ord inat	ina tior	ntes 55 4	531797 n 5462372 al	nE mN		Drill type Auger Ho Drill method Rotary Ho Drill fluid Nil Dri Log Chr	ele comm ele comple illed by gged by ecked by	encec eted	<ul> <li>19 September 2000</li> <li>19 September 2000</li> <li>Mr Shane Heawood</li> <li>Mr Andrew Ezzy</li> <li>Mr Adrian Waite</li> </ul>
benetration benetration	support	water	notes samples, tests	R.L. depth depth	graphic log	classification symbol	material soil type: plasticity or particle characteristics, colour, secondary and minor components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	structure, geology
		Bentonite	D Sample ID 1	0.5 -		SM	SAND - black	D	S L	Quaternary sand soil
	6 PVC pipe		Sample ID 2	-		SP	SAND - medium, light grey	М	S L	Quaternary sand
	Vo Screen - Class	7mm Gravel	D Sample ID 3	1.0		SP	SAND - coarse, grey and dark brown	М	VL	Quaternary sand
		-	D Sample ID 4	1.5		SP	SAND - coarse, dark brown	М	VL	Quaternary sand
	otted screen		D Sample ID 5	2.0		SP	SAND - coarse, dark brown	M	VL	Quaternary sand –
	1.4 m Pro slo		D Sample ID 6	2.5 -		SM	SAND - fine, light red-brown, strong $H_2S$ odour	W	VL	Quaternary sand
		fill		3.0 -						-  - -
	Back in fill	Back in		3.5						-    
			Sample ID numbers refer to samples stored in MRT core shed	4.0			End of hole at 4.0 m Pumped for 30 minutes At end of pumping, flow 0.9 L/m, pH 7.4 and conductivity 450 $\mu$ S/cm. Strong H <sub>2</sub> S odour from water samples			  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -

## **ENGINEERING LOG - BOREHOLE**

Borehole no. BSL2000/2 Sheet 1 of 1

Pr	ojeo	ct	Br	idport s	ewag	ge lag	goons Location (	Charles Street, BridportHole commenced19 September 2000Hole completed19 September 2000Drilled byMr Shane HeawoodLogged byMr Andrew EzzyChecked byMr Adrian Waite		
Co R.I Inc Be	-orc lina arin	lina tior g	ates 55 g	531799 n 5462456 al	nE mN		Drill type Auger H Drill method Rotary H Drill fluid Nil H			
benetration	support	water	notes samples, tests	metres e <sup>bt</sup> L	graphic log	classification symbol	material soil type: plasticity or particle characteristics, colour, secondary and minor components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	structure, geology
		tonite	D Sample ID	-		SM	SAND - dark brown	M	S L	Quaternary sand
	Screen	Ben	D D	0.5 -		SP	SAND -medium, dark grey	М	S L	Quaternary sand
	NC		3	- - 1.0 –		SP	SAND - coarse, brown-grey	М	VS L	Quaternary sand
		7mm Gravel	D Sample ID	1.5 -						-
			D	2.0 -		SP	SAND - medium, brown	M	S L	Quaternary sand _
	tted Screen		Sample ID 5	-		SP	SAND - medium, grey-light brown	W	S L	Quaternary sand
	2.0 metre slo		D Sample ID	2.5 -						
		-	6	3.0 -						- - 
	Screen	k in fill	D Sample ID 7	-		SC SP	SAND - light grey, clayey SAND - medium, light brown	M W	St VL	Quaternary sand Quaternary sand
	ck in fill No	Bac		3.5					VS	
	Bac		Sample ID numbers refer to samples stored in MRT core shed	4.0 -			End of hole at 4.0m Pumped for 15 minutes At end of pumping, flow 0.3 L/m, pH 7.4 and conductivity 330 µS/cm. Detergent appearance of all pumped water during development of hole.			

# ENGINEERING LOG - BOREHOLE

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Borehole no.} \\ \text{BSL2000/3} \\ \text{Sheet} \quad 1 \quad \text{of} \quad 2 \end{array}$ 

	Bridport	reet, l	es St	ation Charle	goons Location	ge lag	ewag	idport s	Br	t	ojec	Pro
2000 2000 awood zzy aite	<ul> <li>19 September 200</li> <li>19 September 200</li> <li>Mr Shane Heawo</li> <li>Mr Andrew Ezzy</li> <li>Mr Adrian Waite</li> </ul>	enced eted	omme omple by d by ed by	Hole co Hole co Drilled Loggeo Checke	Drill type Auger Drill method Rotary Drill fluid Nil		nE mN	531801 n 5462545 al	ates 55 : n Vertic	ina tior g	-orc  lina arin	Co R.L Inc Be
ology	structure, geolog	consistency density index	moisture condition	eristics, nents.	material soil type: plasticity or particle characteristics, colour, secondary and minor components.	classification symbol	graphic log	metres debty Ge K	notes samples, tests	water	support	<ul> <li>benetration</li> </ul>
ndy soil _ - -	Quaternary sandy	L	М		SAND - black	SM		-	D Sample ID 1	Bentonite		
nd _ - - -	Quaternary sand	L	М	% dark grey	SAND - medium, 10% black and 90% dark	SP		0.5	D Sample ID 2			
nd - - -	Quaternary sand	L S	М	tzite light	SAND - medium, dark grey, 5% quartzite lig yellow rounded pebbles	SP		1.0	D Sample ID 3	el		
nd - - -	Quaternary sand	L	М	% quartzite	1.5 SP SAND - medium, dark red-brown, 2% quartzite rounded pebbles		1.5 -	D Sample ID 4	7mm Grav	No Screen		
-								2.0	D Sample ID 5			
nd	Quaternary sand	L	М	nrtzite	SAND - coarse, dark brown, 2% quartzite pebbles	SP		2.5 -	D Sample ID 6			
nd	Quaternary sand	L S	W	artzite	SAND - coarse, dark brown, 2% quartzite pebbles	SP		3.0 -	D Sample ID 7			
- nd - 	Quaternary sand	VL	W	tzite pebbles	SAND - coarse, dark grey, 5% quartzite p	SP		3.5 -	D Sample ID 8		tted Screen	
-  - -								$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$				
								4.5 -	D Sample ID 8	Back in fil		
	Quaternary sar Quaternary sar Quaternary sar Quaternary sar	L S L L S VL	M M W W	tzite light % quartzite urtzite urtzite trtzite pebbles	<ul> <li>SAND - medium, dark grey, 5% quartzite lig yellow rounded pebbles</li> <li>SAND - medium, dark red-brown, 2% quar rounded pebbles</li> <li>SAND - coarse, dark brown, 2% quartzite pebbles</li> <li>SAND - coarse, dark brown, 2% quartzite pebbles</li> <li>SAND - coarse, dark grey, 5% quartzite p</li> </ul>	SP SP SP SP		1.0 - 1.5	D Sample ID 3 D Sample ID 4 Sample ID 6 Sample ID 7 Sample ID 7 Sample ID 8 Sample ID 8 Sample ID 8 Sample ID 8	Back in fill 7mm Gravel	2.0 metre slotted Screen No Screen	

# ENGINEERING LOG - BOREHOLE

Borehole no. BSL2000/3 Sheet 2 of 2

Pro	oje	ct	Br	idport s	ewag	ge lag	goons	Location	Charle	es St	reet,	Bridport
Co R.L Inc Be	-oro lina arir	dina atior	tes 55 :	531801 n 5462545 cal	nE mN		Drill type Auger Drill method Rotary Drill fluid Nil	AugerHole commenced19 September 2000dRotaryHole completed19 September 2000NilDrilled byMr Shane HeawoodLogged byMr Andrew EzzyChecked byMr Adrian Waite				
<ol> <li>benetration</li> </ol>	support	water	notes samples, tests	metres Gepth depth	graphic log	classification symbol	material soil type: plasticity or particle ch colour, secondary and minor c	naracteristics, components.		moisture condition	consistency density index	structure, geology
	Back in fill	Back in fill	D Sample ID 8				(As sheet 1)					
			Sample ID numbers refer to samples stored in MRT core shed				End of hole at 5.5 m Hand bailed for 10 minutes. At end of bailing, pH 7.5 and conductivity 790 µS/cm.					

## ENGINEERING LOG - BOREHOLE

Borehole no. BSL2000/4 Sheet 1 of 2

Pro	ojec	t	Bri	dport s	ewag	ge lag	goons Location Cl	harles St	reet,	Bridport
Co-ordinates 55 531852 mE 5462547 mN R.L. Inclination Vertical Bearing							Drill type       Auger       Hole comm         Drill method       Rotary       Hole comp         Drill fluid       Nil       Drilled by         Logged by       Checked b		enced eted	<ul> <li>19 September 2000</li> <li>19 September 2000</li> <li>Mr Shane Heawood</li> <li>Mr Andrew Ezzy</li> <li>Mr Adrian Waite</li> </ul>
penetration 5 T	support	water	notes samples, tests	R.L. depth	graphic log	classification symbol	material soil type: plasticity or particle characteristics, colour, secondary and minor components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	structure, geology
		Bentonite	D Sample ID 1			SM	SAND - black	M	L S	Quaternary sand, soil
	No Screen		D Sample ID 2	0.5		SP	SAND - coarse, light grey, 5% white quartzite pebbles	М	L	Quaternary coastal plain sediments
		/el	D Sample ID 3	- 1.0 - - -		SP	SAND -medium, brownish grey, 5% white rounded quartzite pebbles	W	L	Quaternary coastal plain sediments
		7mm Grav	D Sample ID 4 D Sample ID	1.5		SP	SAND - coarse light yellow-brown and grey	M	L	
			5	2.0 -		51	quartzite pebbles			plain sediments
	tre slotted Screer		D Sample ID 6	2.5 -		SP	SAND - medium, dark grey, white rounded pebbles	W	VL	Quaternary coastal plain sediments
	2.0 mei			3.0		SP	SAND - coarse, light grey, clayey, 5% white rounded small pebbles	W	VL	Quaternary coastal plain sediments
				3.5 -						
	II			4.0 -						
	Back in t	Back in 1		4.5 -						

# ENGINEERING LOG - BOREHOLE

Borehole no. BSL2000/4 Sheet 2 of 2

F	ro	jec	t	Br	idpor	t se	ewag	ge lag	goons	Location	Charle	es St	reet,	Bridport
Co-ordinates55531801 mE 5462545 mNDrill typeAugerHole commenced19 SeptemberR.L. Inclination BearingDrill fluidNilDrilled byMr Shane Hea Logged by Checked byMr Andrew Ea Mr Adrian Wa						<ul> <li>19 September 2000</li> <li>19 September 2000</li> <li>Mr Shane Heawood</li> <li>Mr Andrew Ezzy</li> <li>Mr Adrian Waite</li> </ul>								
1		support	water	notes samples, tests	metre	depth 58	graphic log	classification symbol	materia soil type: plasticity or particle colour, secondary and min	e characteristics, or components.		moisture condition	consistency density index	structure, geology
		Back in fill	Back in fill		_ 5.4	- - - 5			(As sheet 1)					-
				Sample ID numbers refer to samples stored in MRT core shed					End of hole at 5.5 m					

# ENGINEERING LOG - BOREHOLE

Borehole no. BSL2000/5 Sheet 1 of 1

F	roj	jec	t	Br	idport s	ewag	ge lag	goons Location Char	les St	reet,	Bridport		
Co-ordinates 55 531858 mE 5462547 mN R.L. Inclination Vertical Bearing						nE mN		Drill typeAugerHole comDrill methodRotaryHole comDrill fluidNilDrilled byLogged b			nmenced 19 September 2000 npleted 19 September 2000 y Mr Shane Heawood by Mr Andrew Ezzy		
		support	water	notes samples, tests	metres depth	graphic log	classification symbol	Check material soil type: plasticity or particle characteristics, colour, secondary and minor components.	moisture condition	consistency density index	Mr Adrian Waite		
		reen	onite	Samples as BSL2000/4			SM	SAND - black	М	L S	Quaternary sand soil		
		No Sc	Bente				SP	SAND - coarse, brown-grey, 5% white quartzite pebbles	M	L	Quaternary coastal _ plain sediments _ 		
		otted screen	ravel				SP	SAND - medium, brown-grey, 5% white rounded quartzite pebbles	W	L	Quaternary coastal plain sediments		
		1 metre sl	7mm Gi		2.0		SP	SAND - coarse, light brown-grey, quartzite pebbles	M	L	Quaternary coastal		
					-	-		End of hole at 2.0 m			-		
						-							
					-	-							
						-							
						-							

# ENGINEERING LOG - BOREHOLE

Borehole no. BSL2000/6 Sheet 1 of 1

Pr	oje	ect	Br	idport s	ewag	ge lag	goons Location	Charle	s St	reet,	Bridport
Co R. Inc Be	D-OI L. clin eari	rdir atio	nates 55 4	531902 n 54625463 al	nE 3 mN		Drill type Auger Drill method Rotary Drill fluid Nil	Hole co Hole co Drilled I Logged Checke	ommo omple oy by d by	enced eted	19 September 2000 19 September 2000 Mr Shane Heawood Mr Andrew Ezzy Mr Adrian Waite
t benetration	3	support	samples, tests	R.L. depth depth	graphic log	classification symbol	material soil type: plasticity or particle characteristics, colour, secondary and minor components.		moisture condition	consistency density index	structure, geology
			D Sample ID 1	-		SM	SAND - black		Μ	L S	Quaternary sandy soil
	No Co.		D Sample ID 2	0.5 -		SP	SAND - coarse, brown- grey		М	L	Quaternary sand
			D Sample ID 3	1.0 -		SP	SAND - coarse, light yellow		W	VL	Quaternary sand
	noon		D Sample ID 4	1.5 -		SP	SAND - coarse, light grey, clayey		W	VL	Quaternary sand –
	matra clottad co		D Bample ID	2.0 -							
	ſ	1	D Sample ID 6	2.5 -							-  - - -
			r to ore shed	- 3.0 -			End of hole at 3.0 m				
			numbers refe		-						 - - -
			Sample ID samples sto		-						 - -
					-						-  - - -

## Appendix 2 Analytical Services Tasmania — laboratory reports



### ANALYTICAL SERVICES TASMANIA

Sandy Bay Laboratory

c|- Chemistry Department University of Tasmania Sandy Bay Tasmania 7005 Telephone: (03) 6226 7175 Fax: (03) 6226 7825 Email: ast.sandybay@dpiwe.tas.gov.au



NATA Accreditation Number: 5589

#### Laboratory Report

Report No:	13954 Please quote this	number when making enquiries about this report
Submitted By:	Andrew Ezzy	
Client:	Mineral Resources Tasman	ia
Site Description:	Bridport	
Received:	01-Dec-00	Client Order No:
<b>Report Date:</b>	02-Jan-01	
<b>Report To:</b>	Andrew Ezzy	
Address:	Gordons Hill Rd Rosny TA	.S 7018

### Test Method(s) :

1002-Water:Conductivity by APHA Method 25101101-Water:Alkalinity by APHA Method 2320/4500-CO21103-Water:Anions by Ion Chromatography APHA Method 4110C1201-Water:Nutrients by APHA Method 45001302-Water:Major Cations in Water by APHA Method 3030/3120	1001-Water:	pH in Water by APHA Method 4500-H
1101-Water:Alkalinity by APHA Method 2320/4500-CO21103-Water:Anions by Ion Chromatography APHA Method 4110C1201-Water:Nutrients by APHA Method 45001302-Water:Major Cations in Water by APHA Method 3030/3120	1002-Water:	Conductivity by APHA Method 2510
1103-Water:Anions by Ion Chromatography APHA Method 4110C1201-Water:Nutrients by APHA Method 45001302-Water:Major Cations in Water by APHA Method 3030/3120	1101-Water:	Alkalinity by APHA Method 2320/4500-CO2
1201-Water:Nutrients by APHA Method 45001302-Water:Major Cations in Water by APHA Method 3030/3120	1103-Water:	Anions by Ion Chromatography APHA Method 4110C
1302-Water: Major Cations in Water by APHA Method 3030/3120	1201-Water:	Nutrients by APHA Method 4500
	1302-Water:	Major Cations in Water by APHA Method 3030/3120



NATA endorsed test report. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full. Samples analysed as received.

NATA Accreditation Number: 5589

Greg Hince Senior Chemist Page 1 of 2



ANALYTICAL SERVICES TASMANIA Sandy Bay Laboratory c|- Chemistry Department University of Tasmania

suy Department Oniversity of Lasmar Sandy Bay Tasmania 7005



		Lab.No.:	14229	14230	14231	14232	14233	14234
		Sample Id.:	BSL2000/1	BSL2000/2	BSL2000/3	BSL2000/4	BSL2000/5	BSL2000/6
Method	Analyte	Units / Sampled On :	29/11/00 10:40	29/11/00 10:20	29/11/00 10:00	29/11/00 09:10	29/11/00 09:25	29/11/00 08:50
1001-Water	Hd		5.0	5.8	5.3	5.7	6.3	5.9
1002-Water	Conductivity	µS/cm	371	354	615	588	777	1130
1101-Water	Alkalinity CO3	mg/L CaCO3		V	V	V	V	۲ ۲
	Alkalinity HCO3	mg/L CaCO3	7	41	34	78	133	48
1103-Water	Bromide	mg/L	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
	Chloride	mg/L	78	44	110	120	140	270
	Fluoride	mg/L	<0.02	0.09	0.03	0.03	0.13	0.06
	Sulphate	mg/L	15	25	32	13	18	64
1201-Water	Ammonia	hg-N/L	1730	1110	1680	4920	67	52
	Nitrate+Nitrite	hg-N/L	171	164	178	328	92	109
	Nitrite	hg-N/L	35	62	36	168	84	34
	Ortho-P	hg-P/L	14	21	16	100	31	22
1302-Water	Ca (Dissolved)	mg/L	4.46	3.71	3.94	1.72	0.57	0.48
	K (Dissolved)	mg/L	2.59	3.80	2.34	0.70	0.48	0.24
	Mg (Dissolved)	mg/L	6.70	18.9	13.1	3.47	0.95	1.56
	Na (Dissolved)	mg/L	48.4	33.0	84.2	30.5	11.9	14.7

Page 2 of 2

Report Date: 02-Jan-01

Report No: 13954

Appendix 3 Analytical results on site map

# Bridport sewage lagoons November 2000 Conductivity (μS/cm)

# Bridport sewage lagoons November 2000 pH



Tasmanian Geological Survey Record 2002/01

Bridport sewage lagoons November 2000 Alkalinity CO<sub>3</sub> (mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub>)

Bridport sewage lagoons November 2000 Alkalinity HCO<sub>3</sub> (mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub>)



Tasmanian Geological Survey Record 2002/01

# Bridport sewage lagoons November 2000 Ammonia (µg-N/L)

# Bridport sewage lagoons November 2000 Bromide (mg/L)



Tasmanian Geological Survey Record 2002/01

# Bridport sewage lagoons November 2000 Ca (mg/L)

# Bridport sewage lagoons November 2000 Chloride (mg/L)



Tasmanian Geological Survey Record 2002/01

 $\mathbf{24}$ 

# Bridport sewage lagoons November 2000 K (mg/L)

# Bridport sewage lagoons November 2000 Mg (mg/L)



Tasmanian Geological Survey Record 2002/01

Bridport sewage lagoons November 2000 Nitrite (µg-N/L)

Bridport sewage lagoons November 2000 Nitrite + Nitrate (µg-N/L)



Tasmanian Geological Survey Record 2002/01

# Bridport sewage lagoons November 2000 Na (mg/L)

# Bridport sewage lagoons November 2000 Ortho-P (μg-P/L)



Tasmanian Geological Survey Record 2002/01

# Bridport sewage lagoons November 2000 Fluoride (mg/L)

# Bridport sewage lagoons November 2000 Sulphate (mg/L)



Tasmanian Geological Survey Record 2002/01

### **Appendix 4**

### Raw data collected for slug extraction tests — Bridport Lagoons

**Recovery data** 

Time

Date:	16/08/2001	Flow:	3 L/m	Date:	16/08/2001	Flow:	4.0 L/m
Bore:	BSL 2000/1	SWL:	1.07 m	Bore:	BSL 2000/6	SWL:	0.66 m
TD:	4.00 m			TD:	3.00 m		

### **Recovery data**

Time	Residual drawdown	Measurement
(min)	<i>(m)</i>	<i>(m)</i>
0.00	2.21	3.28
0.25	0.71	1.78
0.50	0.61	1.68
0.75	0.55	1.62
1.00	0.50	1.57
1.25	0.43	1.50
1.50	0.38	1.45
1.75	0.35	1.42
2.00	0.32	1.39
2.25	0.29	1.36
2.50	0.26	1.33
2.75	0.23	1.30
3.00	0.21	1.28
3.25	0.20	1.27
3.50	0.18	1.25
3.75	0.16	1.23
4.00	0.14	1.21
4.25	0.13	1.20
4.50	0.12	1.19
4.75	0.11	1.18
5.00	0.10	1.17
5.25	0.09	1.16
5.50	0.08	1.15
5.75	0.07	1.14
6.00	0.06	1.13
6.25	0.06	1.13
6.50	0.05	1.12
6.75	0.05	1.12
7.00	0.04	1.11
7.25	0.04	1.11
7.50	0.03	1.10
7.75	0.03	1.10
8.00	0.02	1.09
8.50	0.02	1.09
9.00	0.01	1.085
9.50	0.01	1.08
10.00	0.01	1.08

(min)	(m)	<i>(m)</i>
0.00	2.34	3.00
0.25	2.14	2.80
0.50	1.97	2.63
0.75	1.82	2.48
1.00	1.68	2.34
1.25	1.49	2.15
1.50	1.34	2.00
1.75	1.23	1.89
2.00	1.09	1.75
2.25	0.95	1.61
2.50	0.83	1.49
2.75	0.71	1.37
3.00	0.61	1.27
3.25	0.52	1.18
3.50	0.46	1.12
3.75	0.41	1.07
4.00	0.37	1.03
4.25	0.33	0.99
4.50	0.30	0.96
4.75	0.28	0.94
5.00	0.26	0.92
5.25	0.24	0.90
5.50	0.23	0.89
5.75	0.22	0.88
6.00	0.20	0.86
6.25	0.19	0.85
6.50	0.18	0.84
6.75	0.17	0.83
7.00	0.17	0.83
7.25	0.15	0.81
7.50	0.14	0.80
7.75	0.13	0.79
8.00	0.11	0.77
8.25	0.10	0.76
8.50	0.10	0.755
8.75	0.09	0.75
9.00	0.09	0.75
9.25	0.09	0.745
9.50	0.08	0.74
9.45	0.08	0.735
10.00	0.08	0.735

Residual drawdown

Measurement



Tasmanian Geological Survey Record 2002/01